First result: $x_2 \ge x_1$

$$\begin{cases} t_1 - c_1(x_1) \ge t_2 - c_1(x_2) \\ t_2 - c_2(x_2) \ge t_1 - c_2(x_1) \end{cases}$$

Adding up:

$$-c_{1}(x_{1}) - c_{2}(x_{2}) \ge -c_{1}(x_{2}) - c_{2}(x_{1})$$

$$c_{1}(x_{2}) - c_{1}(x_{1}) \ge c_{2}(x_{2}) - c_{2}(x_{1})$$

$$\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} CMg_{1}(s)ds \ge \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} CMg_{2}(s)ds$$

$$CMg_{1} > CMg_{2} \Rightarrow x_{2} \ge x_{1}$$

Second result: first-best is not implementable

First-best:

$$\begin{cases} t_1 - c_1(x_1) = 0 \\ t_2 - c_2(x_2) = 0 \end{cases} \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} t_1 = c_1(x_1) \\ t_2 = c_2(x_2) \end{cases}$$

Type 2 may choose contract 1 and obtain:

$$u_2(t_1, x_1) = t_1 - c_2(x_1) > t_1 - c_1(x_1) = 0 = t_2 - c_2(x_2) = u_2(t_2, x_2)$$

That is, $u_2(t_1, x_1) > u_2(t_2, x_2)$: type 2 deviates from the first best.

Third result: $IR_1 \Rightarrow IR_2 isslack$

$$t_2 - c_2(x_2) \ge t_1 - c_2(x_1) > t_1 - c_1(x_1) \ge 0$$

Hence $t_2 - c_2(x_2) > 0$.

Fourth result: IR_1 is binding

Otherwise, Principal might reduce t_1 and t_2 uniformly so that IC's are unaffected, IR_2 would remain active due to the previous result, IR_1 would remain active, and hence all restrictions would be respected with a higher profit for the principal: contradiction.

Fifth result: IC_2 is binding

Assume otherwise. Type 2 still chooses x_2 and his IR is not violated is change is small enough since it wasn't binding.

1 chooses x_1 even more strongly and his IR is unaffected.

Sixth result: $IC_2binding \Rightarrow IC_1slack$.

$$t_2 - c_2(x_2) = t_1 - c_2(x_1) \Longrightarrow IC_2 binding$$

$$t_2 - t_1 = c_2(x_2) - c_2(x_1) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} CMg_2(s)ds < \int_{x_1}^{x_2} CMg_1(s)ds = c_1(x_2) - c_1(x_1)$$

Hence:

$$t_2 - t_1 < c_1(x_2) - c_1(x_1)$$

$$t_2 - c_1(x_2) < t_1 - c_1(x_1)$$

Tha tis, IC_1 is slack.

 7^{th} result: $t_2 \ge t_1$

$$t_2 - c_2(x_2) \ge t_1 - c_2(x_1)$$

$$t_2 - t_1 \ge c_2(x_2) - c_2(x_1) \ge 0$$

Additionally, $t_2 > t_1$ if $x_2 > x_1$.